




EVALUATION REPORT & COUNSELING RECORD (E1-E6)

RCS BUPERS 1610-1

1. Name (Last, First MI Suffix) CAREY, JESSE A				2. Rate EN2		3. Desig SW		4. SSN [REDACTED]		
5. ACT ☒ FTS ☐ INACT ☐ AT/ADSW/265 ☐		6. UIC 21944		7. Ship/Station DDG-70 HOPPER			8. Promotion Status REGULAR		9. Date Reported 15MAY25	
Occasion for Report 10. Periodic ☒ 11. Detachment of Individual ☐ 12. Promotion/Frocking ☐ 13. Special ☐				Period of Report 14. From: 15MAR16 15. To: 16MAR15						
16. Not Observed Report ☐		Type of Report 17. Regular ☒ 18. Concurrent ☐				20. Physical Readiness PP		21. Billet Subcategory (if any) NA		
22. Reporting Senior (Last, FI MI) GAINEY, J D			23. Grade CDR		24. Desig 1110		25. Title XO		26. UIC 21944	
							27. SSN [REDACTED]			
28. Command employment and command achievements. VBSS 2.2, 3M 1.3, EXPASAF 1.3, MOB-D 1.2, EOC, STW 2/4A, MOB-D 1.4, USW 2.3A, FSO-M 1.3, 6A1 CMAV, FSO-M 1.4, MOB-A 1.4B, STW 2.4B, EW 2.4A, READ-E7, EXPASAF 1.4, AW 2.4B, USW 2.2, 3M 1.4, 6A2 CMAV, BMDEX 16-05, SLAMEX, VBSS 2.4, USW 2.3B, MOB-S 1.4, TOV, FST-J, SLAMEX.										
29. Primary/Collateral/Watchstanding duties. (Enter primary duty abbreviation in box.) WCS PRI: Assigned to Auxiliary Division Workcenter Supervisor EA01-8. WATCH: (I/P)Central Control Station Supervisor-9; (U/W)Auxiliary Systems Monitor-9; Small Boat Engineer-12. PFA: 15-1/15-2.										
For Mid-term Counseling Use. (When completing EVAL, enter 30 and 31 from counseling worksheet, sign 32.)				30. Date Counseled 15SEP15		31. Counselor WILKISON, T R		32. Signature of Individual Counseled [Signature]		
PERFORMANCE TRAITS: 1.0 - Below standards/not progressing or UNSAT in any one standard; 2.0 - Does not yet meet all 3.0 standards; 3.0 - Meets all 3.0 standards; 4.0 - Exceeds most 3.0 standards; 5.0 - Meets overall criteria and most of the specific standards for 5.0. Standards are not all inclusive.										
PERFORMANCE TRAITS	1.0* Below Standards	2.0 Pro- gressing	3.0 Meets Standards	4.0 Above Standards	5.0 Greatly Exceeds Standards					
33. PROFESSIONAL KNOWLEDGE: Technical knowledge and practical application NOB ☐	- Marginal knowledge of rating, specialty or job. - Unable to apply knowledge to solve routine problems. - Fails to meet advancement/PQS requirements.	- ☐	- Strong working knowledge of rating, specialty and job. - Reliably applies knowledge to accomplish tasks. - Meets advancement/PQS requirements on time.	- ☐	- Recognized expert, sought out by all for technical knowledge. - Uses knowledge to solve complex technical problems. - Meets advancement/PQS requirements early/with distinction ☒					
34. QUALITY OF WORK: Standard of work; value of end product. NOB ☐	- Needs excessive supervision. - Product frequently needs rework. - Wasteful of resources.	- ☐	- Needs little supervision. - Produces quality work. Few errors and resulting rework. - Uses resources efficiently.	- ☒	- Needs no supervision. - Always produces exceptional work. No rework required. - Maximizes resources. ☐					
35. COMMAND OR ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE/EQUAL OPPORTUNITY: Contributing to growth and development, human worth, community. NOB ☐	- Actions counter to Navy's retention/reenlistment goals. - Uninvolved with mentoring or professional development of subordinates. - Actions counter to good order and discipline and negatively affect Command/Organizational climate. - Demonstrates exclusionary behavior. Fails to value differences from cultural diversity.	- ☐	- Positive leadership supports Navy's increased retention goals. Active in decreasing attrition. - Actions adequately encourage/support subordinates' personal/professional growth. - Demonstrates appreciation for contributions of Navy personnel. Positive influence on Command climate. - Values differences as strengths. Fosters atmosphere of acceptance/inclusion per EO/EEO policy.	- ☒	- Measurably contributes to Navy's increased retention and reduced attrition objectives. - Proactive leader/exemplary mentor. Involved in subordinates' personal development leading to professional growth/sustained commitment. - Initiates support programs for military, civilian, and families to achieve exceptional Command and Organizational climate. - The model of achievement. Develops unit cohesion by valuing differences as strengths. ☐					
36. MILITARY BEARING/CHARACTER: Appearance, conduct physical fitness, adherence to Navy Core Values. NOB ☐	- Consistently unsatisfactory appearance. - Poor self-control; conduct resulting in disciplinary action. - Unable to meet one or more physical readiness standards. - Fails to live up to one or more Navy Core Values: HONOR, COURAGE, COMMITMENT.	- ☐	- Excellent personal appearance. - Excellent conduct conscientiously complies with regulations. - Complies with physical readiness program. - Always lives up to Navy Core Values: HONOR, COURAGE, COMMITMENT.	- ☐	- Exemplary personal appearance. - Model of conduct, on and off duty. - A leader in physical readiness. - Exemplifies Navy Core Values: HONOR, COURAGE, COMMITMENT. ☒					
37. PERSONAL JOB ACCOMPLISHMENT/INITIATIVE: Responsibility, quantity of work. NOB ☐	- Needs prodding to attain qualification or finish job. - Prioritizes poorly. - Avoids responsibility.	- ☐	- Productive and motivated. Completes tasks and qualifications fully and on time. - Plans/prioritizes effectively. - Reliable, dependable, willingly accepts responsibility.	- ☒	- Energetic self-starter. Completes tasks or qualifications early, far better than expected. - Plans/prioritizes wisely and with exceptional foresight. - Seeks extra responsibility and takes on the hardest jobs. ☐					

EVALUATION REPORT & COUNSELING RECORD (E1-E6) (cont 'd)

RCS BUPERS 1610-1

1. Name (Last, First MI Suffix) CAREY, JESSE A				2. Rate EN2		3. Desig SW		4. SSN [REDACTED]							
PERFORMANCE TRAITS		1.0* Below Standards		2.0 Pro- gressing		3.0 Meets Standards		4.0 Above Standards		5.0 Greatly Exceeds Standards					
38. TEAMWORK: Contributions to team building and team results NOB ☐		- Creates conflict, unwilling to work with others, puts self above team. - Fails to understand team goals or teamwork techniques. - Does not take direction well.		- Reinforces others' efforts, meets commitments to team. - Understands goals, employs good teamwork techniques. - Accepts and offers team direction.		- Team builder, inspires cooperation and progress. - Focuses goals and techniques for teams - The best at accepting and offering team direction.		☐		☒					
39. LEADERSHIP: Organizing, motivating and developing others to accomplish goals. NOB ☐		- Neglects growth/development or welfare of subordinates. - Fails to organize, creates problems for subordinates. - Does not set or achieve goals relevant to command mission and vision. - Lacks ability to cope with or tolerate stress. - Inadequate communicator. - Tolerates hazards or unsafe practices		- Effectively stimulates growth/development in subordinates. - Organizes successfully, implementing process improvements and efficiencies. - Sets/achieves useful, realistic goals that support command mission. - Performs well in stressful situations - Clear, timely communicator. - Ensures safety of personnel and equipment.		- Inspiring motivator and trainer, subordinates reach highest level of growth and development. - Superb organizer, great foresight, develops process improvements and efficiencies. - Leadership achievements dramatically further command mission and vision. - Perseveres through the toughest challenges and inspires others. - Exceptional communicator. - Makes subordinates safety-conscious, maintains top safety record. - Constantly improves the personal and professional lives of others.		☐		☒					
40. Individual Trait Avg. total of trait scores divided by number of graded traits. 4.29		41. I recommend this individual for (maximum of two): Assignment in Rating, Sea Special Programs, Shore Special Programs, Commissioning Programs, Special Warfare Programs, Rating Instructor Duty, Other. (Be specific) LPO INSTRUCTOR DUTY				42. Signature of Rater (Typed Name & Rate): I have evaluated this member against the above performance standards and have forwarded written explanation of marks 1.0.  WESTPHAL, P E, MMC (SW) Date: 03 APR 16									
43. COMMENTS ON PERFORMANCE: * All 1.0 marks, three 2.0 marks, and 2.0 marks in Block 35 must be specifically substantiated in comments. Comments must be verifiable. Font must be 10 or 12 Pitch (10 or 12 point) only. Use upper and lower case. *** MY #11 OF 56 SECOND CLASS PETTY OFFICERS ONBOARD THIS WARSHIP! *** -LEADERSHIP. As the Workcenter Supervisor for EA01, Petty Officer Carey supervised and tracked the completion of 3,250 maintenance checks. His leadership, impressive mechanical aptitude, and determination ensured a score of 100% for Auxiliaries Division during HOPPER's successful IOA. -TECHNICAL EXPERT. His maturity and professionalism make him an invaluable asset to Auxiliaries Division, he successfully fills the vital role as LPO when called upon. As the VBSS Boat Engineer, his technical knowledge was vital during HOPPER's VBSS Basic Phase certification with a score of 92 percent. -MISSION ACCOMPLISHMENT. Within four months of reporting he qualified as Auxiliary Systems Monitor and Central Control Station Supervisor. Recognized by ATG assessors for his performance as Auxiliary Systems Monitor which was crucial during HOPPER's successful EOC certification. Petty Officer Carey is an exceptional leader with tremendous technical knowledge. He possesses the professionalism normally seen in an experienced First Class Petty Officer. He has earned my highest recommendation for advancement to Petty Officer First Class.															
44. QUALIFICATIONS/ACHIEVEMENTS - Education, awards, community involvement, etc., during this period. QUALS: Damage Control 3119-L 301-312, 43241-K-CH-1 Work Center Supervisor, 43705 Small Boat Engineer, 43705 Auxiliary Systems Monitor.															
Promotion Recommendation		NOB		Significant Problems		Progressing		Promotable		Must Promote		Early Promote		47. Retention: Not Recommended ☐ Recommended ☒	
45. INDIVIDUAL		☐		☐		☐		☐		☐		☒		48. Reporting Senior Address USS HOPPER (DDG 70) UNIT 100182 BOX 1 FPO AP 96667	
46. SUMMARY		☒		0		0		22		22		12			
49. Signature of Senior Rater (Typed Name & Grade/Rate): I have reviewed the evaluation of this member against these performance standards and have provided written explanation to support the marks of 1.0.  OWENS, J T, LT Date: 03 APR 16								50. Signature of Reporting Senior  Summary Group Average: 3.93 Date: 3/24/16							
51. Signature of Individual Evaluated. "I have seen this report, been apprised of my performance, and understand my right to submit a statement." I intend to submit a statement. ☐ I do not intend to submit a statement. ☒  Date: 03 APR 2016								52. Type name, grade, command, UIC, and signature of Regular Reporting Senior on Concurrent Report Date:							